



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,988	09/29/2003	Yasuhiro Oshima	ITECP002	5213
25920 7590 08/03/2009 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085				
EXAMINER ZIEGLE, STEPHANIE M				
ART UNIT 3692		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 08/03/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/674,988

Applicant(s)

OSHIMA ET AL.

Examiner

STEPHANIE ZIEGLE

Art Unit

3692

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephanie Ziegler.(3) George Leavell (Reg #45436).(2) Jennifer Liversedge.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2009.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.Identification of prior art discussed: Seretti.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Seretti reference was discussed regarding the perceived differences from the present invention. Seretti was also discussed regarding how both the applicant and examiner are interpreting the reference with regard to the claim limitations. Examiner will review the attorney's filed official response to the office action, and respond accordingly in view of the discussion made during the interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephanie Ziegler/
Examiner, Art Unit 3692/Jennifer Liversedge/
Examiner, Art Unit 3692